UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,012	08/01/2003	Hong Wang	42P15447	4733
59796 INTEL CORPC	7590 04/15/200 PRATION	EXAMINER		
c/o INTELLEVATE, LLC P.O. BOX 52050 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			TECKLU, ISAAC TUKU	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2192	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/633,012	WANG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	ISAAC T. TECKLU	2192					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ja	nuarv 2008.						
·= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.						
<i>;</i>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,7,9-31 and 33-41</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4, 6-7, 9-31 and 33-41</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite					

Art Unit: 2192

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to the amendment filed on 01/24/2008.

- 2. Claims 5, 8 and 32 have been cancelled.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 6-7, 9-31 and 33-41 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 6-7, 9-31 and 33-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chamdani et al. (US 2004/0073906 A1) in view of Hoogerbrugge et al. (US 6,615,333 B1).

Application/Control Number: 10/633,012

Art Unit: 2192

Per claim 1, Chamdani discloses an apparatus comprising:

a processor including: (e.g. FIG. 1, Processor 100 and related text)

marking logic to mark instruction information for an instruction of a speculative thread as speculative (paragraph [0005] "... read from global register if ... a speculative thread ..." and e.g. FIG. 2, 230 and 240 and related text);

Page 3

Chamdani discloses the speculative thread from being forwarded to an instruction of a non-speculative thread (paragraph [0031] "... to flush a speculative thread prior to its reexecution, stores from the speculative ... non speculative ..."). Chamdani does not explicitly disclose blocker logic to prevent forwarding of the data associated with the store instruction to the first thread. However, Hoogerbrugge discloses a forward load instruction that can be taken into execution before a store instruction that stores the data loaded by the forward load instruction. The load address used by the forward load instruction is saved after it has been used to load data. Subsequently, when a store instruction is executed, the store address of the store instruction is compared with the addresses used to prefetch data into each register. If the load and store addresses address the same data, the prefetched data in the relevant register is replaced by the store data that is stored by the store instruction. The data is replaced from the time that the store instruction is completed. Thus, a register loaded with a forward load instruction always contains data that corresponds to the data that is actually in memory at the load address, no matter when the forward load instruction is executed. At the original location of the load instruction a commit instruction is added to prevent store instructions after that location from causing a substitution with store data. As a result the forward load instruction can be moved freely through the program, past any store instructions, without affecting the result of the

program (col.1: 50-60). Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to prevent the data associated with the store instruction so as to bypass the load instruction any store instruction as data will directly go to a functional unit that addresses the result as once suggested by Hoogerbrugge (col.5: 35-50, emphasis added).

Per claim 2, Chamdani does not explicitly disclose blocker logic is further to allow the data associated with a store instruction of the speculative thread to be forwarded to an instruction of a second speculative thread. However, Hoogerbrugge discloses a forward load instruction that can be taken into execution before a store instruction that stores the data loaded by the forward load instruction. The load address used by the forward load instruction is saved after it has been used to load data. Subsequently, when a store instruction is executed, the store address of the store instruction is compared with the addresses used to prefetch data into each register. If the load and store addresses address the same data, the prefetched data in the relevant register is replaced by the store data that is stored by the store instruction. The data is replaced from the time that the store instruction is completed. Thus, a register loaded with a forward load instruction always contains data that corresponds to the data that is actually in memory at the load address, no matter when the forward load instruction is executed. At the original location of the load instruction a commit instruction is added to prevent store instructions after that location from causing a substitution with store data. As a result the forward load instruction can be moved freely through the program, past any store instructions, without affecting the result of the program (col.1: 50-60). Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to prevent the data associated with

the store instruction so as to bypass the load instruction any store instruction as data will directly go to a functional unit that addresses the result as once suggested by Hoogerbrugge (col.5: 35-50, emphasis added).

Per claim 3, Chamdani discloses the apparatus of claim 1, further comprising: a plurality of store request buffers (e.g. FIG. 2, 230, 220 and 240 and related text), each store request buffer including a speculation identifier field (e.g. FIG. 2, 220 and related text).

Per claim 4, Chamdani discloses the apparatus of claim 1, wherein the memory system further comprises: a data cache that includes a safe-store indicator field associated with each entry of a tag array (e.g. FIG. 1, 114 and related text).

Per claim 6, Chamdani does not explicitly disclose dependence blocker logic to prevent data associated with a speculative store instruction from being forwarded to an instruction of the non-speculative thread; and store blocker logic to prevent the data from being stored in a memory system. However, Hoogerbrugge discloses a forward load instruction that can be taken into execution before a store instruction that stores the data loaded by the forward load instruction. The load address used by the forward load instruction is saved after it has been used to load data. Subsequently, when a store instruction is executed, the store address of the store instruction is compared with the addresses used to prefetch data into each register. If the load and store addresses address the same data, the prefetched data in the relevant register is replaced by the store data that is stored by the store instruction. The data is replaced from the time that

Art Unit: 2192

the store instruction is completed. Thus, a register loaded with a forward load instruction always contains data that corresponds to the data that is actually in memory at the load address, no matter when the forward load instruction is executed. At the original location of the load instruction a commit instruction is added to prevent store instructions after that location from causing a substitution with store data. As a result the forward load instruction can be moved freely through the program, past any store instructions, without affecting the result of the program (col.1: 50-60). Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to prevent the data associated with the store instruction so as to bypass the load instruction any store instruction as data will directly go to a functional unit that addresses the result as once suggested by Hoogerbrugge (col.5: 35-50, emphasis added).

Per claim 7, Chamdani discloses the apparatus of claim 6, wherein: store blocker logic is outside the execution pipeline (e.g. FIG. 2 and related text).

Per claim 9, Chamdani discloses the apparatus of claim 6, wherein: dependence blocker logic is included in an execution pipeline (e.g. FIG. 2 and related text).

Per claim 10, Chamdani discloses the apparatus of claim 9, wherein: dependence blocker logic is included in a memory ordering buffer of the processor (paragraph [0061] "... load/store buffer having storage locations for data and address ... buffer may comprises locations ... "and paragraph [0068] "... buffer identified by reorder buffer tags ...").

Per claim 11, Chamdani discloses a system, comprising:

a memory system that includes a memory device (e.g. FIG. 1 and related text); <u>and</u> a processor associated with the memory system, the processor including dependence blocker logic to prevent data associated with a store instruction of a speculative thread from being forwarded to an instruction of a non-speculative thread (e.g. FIG. 1, Processor 100 and related text);

Per claim 12, Chamdani does not explicitly disclose the processor further includes a store blocker logic to prevent the data from being stored in the memory system and marking logic to mark instruction information associated with the store instruction as speculative. However, Hoogerbrugge discloses a forward load instruction that can be taken into execution before a store instruction that stores the data loaded by the forward load instruction. The load address used by the forward load instruction is saved after it has been used to load data. Subsequently, when a store instruction is executed, the store address of the store instruction is compared with the addresses used to prefetch data into each register. If the load and store addresses address the same data, the prefetched data in the relevant register is replaced by the store data that is stored by the store instruction. The data is replaced from the time that the store instruction is completed. Thus, a register loaded with a forward load instruction always contains data that corresponds to the data that is actually in memory at the load address, no matter when the forward load instruction is executed. At the original location of the load instruction a commit instruction is added to prevent store instructions after that location from causing a substitution with store data. As a result the forward load instruction can be moved freely through the

program, past any store instructions, without affecting the result of the program (col.1: 50-60). Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to prevent the data associated with the store instruction so as to bypass the load instruction any store instruction as data will directly go to a functional unit that addresses the result as once suggested by Hoogerbrugge (col.5: 35-50, emphasis added).

Per claim 13, Chamdani discloses the system of claim 12, wherein: the marking logic is further to associate a safe speculation domain ID with the instruction information (paragraph [0005] "... read from global register if ... a speculative thread ..." and e.g. FIG. 2, 230 and 240 and related text).

Per claim 14, Chamdani discloses the system of claim 13, wherein: the marking logic is further to indicate a thread identifier as the speculation domain ID (e.g. FIG. 2, 230 and related text).

Per claim 15, Chamdani discloses the system of claim 12, further comprising: a store request buffer to store the speculation domain ID (paragraph [0005] "... read from global register if ... a speculative thread ..." and e.g. FIG. 2, 230 and 240 and related text).

Per claim 16, Chamdani discloses the system of claim 11, wherein: the processor includes a first logical processor to execute the non-speculative thread; and the processor includes a second logical processor to execute the speculative thread (e.g. FIG. 1, 100 and related text).

Per claim 17, Chamdani discloses the system of claim 11, further comprising: a second

processor that includes said dependence blocker logic and said store blocker logic; wherein said

processor is to execute the non-speculative thread and said second processor is to execute the

speculative thread (e.g. FIG. 1, 112 and related text).

Per claim 18, Chamdani discloses the system of claim of claim 11, wherein: the memory

system includes store blocker logic to prevent the data from being stored in the memory system

and a cache organized to include a plurality of tag lines, wherein each tag line of the cache

includes a unique helper thread ID field

Per claim 19, Chamdani discloses the system of claim 11, wherein: the memory system

includes a cache organized to include a plurality of tag lines, wherein each tag line of the cache

includes a safe-store indicator field (e.g. FIG. 2, 240 and related text).

Per claim 20, Chamdani discloses the system of claim 11, wherein: the memory system

includes a victim tag cache to indicate evicted cache lines that include speculative load data

(e.g. FIG. 2, 240 and related text).

Per claim 21, Chamdani discloses a method, comprising:

Art Unit: 2192

receiving instruction information for a load instruction, the instruction information including a load address (e.g. FIG. 4, S1 and related text);

performing a dependence check, wherein performing the dependence check includes: determining if a store address of an in-flight store instruction matches the load address (e.g. FIG. 4, S2 and related text); and

determining if the load instruction and the in-flight store instruction each originate with a speculative thread; forwarding, if the dependence check is successful, store data associated with the in-flight store instruction to the load instruction (e.g. FIG. 4, S3 and related text); and declining to forward, if the dependence check is not successful, the store data to the load instruction (e.g. FIG. 4, S6).

Per claim 22, Chamdani discloses the method of claim 21, wherein performing the dependence check further comprises: determining if the in-flight store instruction and the load instruction originate from the same thread (e.g. FIG. 4, S5 and related text).

Per claim 23, Chamdani discloses the method of claim 22, wherein determining if the inflight store instruction and the load instruction originate from the same thread further comprises: determining if a thread ID associated with the in-flight store instruction matches a thread ID associated with the load instruction (e.g. FIG. 4, S3 and related text).

Per claim 24, Chamdani discloses the method of claim 21, wherein performing the dependence check further comprises: if the load instruction and the in-flight store instruction do

not each originate with a speculative thread, determining if the load instruction and the in-flight store instruction each originate with a non-speculative thread (e.g. FIG. 4, S6 and related text).

Per claim 25, Chamdani discloses the method of claim 21, further wherein: declining to forward further comprises declining to forward the store data to the load instruction if (the load instruction and the in-flight store instruction each originate with a speculative thread) and (the in-flight store instruction originates with a speculative thread that is not older in program order than the speculative thread from which the load instruction originates) (e.g. FIG. 4, S6 and related text).

Per claim 26 (Currently Amended), Chamdani discloses a method, comprising:

determining a cache line in a cache corresponding to a speculative thread cache write request includes dirty non-speculative data (e.g. FIG. 4, S2 and related text)

in response to determining the cache line corresponding to a speculative thread (e.g. FIG. 4, S3 and S6 and related text);

cache write request includes dirty non-speculative data (e.g. FIG. 1, element 110 and related text);

generating a write back of the dirty non-speculative data (paragraph [0038] "... currently the non-speculative ...");

marking the cache line as speculative (e.g. FIG. 1, element 110 and related text) processing a speculative thread cache write request; and processing a cache access request from a non-speculative thread (e.g. FIG. 1, element 100 and related text).

Per claim 27, Chamdani discloses the method of claim 26, further comprising: forwarding speculative data from a cache to the speculative thread responsive to a data speculative thread cache read request (paragraph [0005] "... read from global register if ... a speculative thread ..." and e.g. FIG. 2, 230 and 240 and related text)

Per claim 28, Chamdani discloses the method of claim 26, comprising forwarding non-speculative store data from the a cache to a speculative thread responsive to a data speculative thread cache read request (paragraph [0156] "... speculative state of processor ...").

Per claim 29, Chamdani discloses the method of claim 26, further comprising forwarding non-speculative store data from the cache to a speculative thread responsive to a data speculative thread cache read request (paragraph [0038] "... currently the non-speculative ...").

Per claim 30, Chamdani discloses the method of claim 26, further comprising processing a cache access request from a non-speculative thread, wherein processing a cache access request from a non-speculative thread comprises: if a cache does not include a cache line associated with the cache access request, allocating a new cache line (e.g. FIG. 1, element 110 and related text);

wherein allocating a new cache line further comprises: if the new cache line includes dirty speculative data, allocating the new cache line without generating a write back operation (e.g. FIG. 1, element 110 and related text).

Art Unit: 2192

Per claim 31, Chamdani discloses the method of claim 26, further comprising allowing the speculative thread to write data to the cache if the cache line corresponding to the speculative thread cache write request includes speculative data (e.g. FIG. 1, element 110 and related text).

Per claim 33 (Currently Amended), Chamdani discloses the method of claim 26, further comprising:

if the cache does not contain data in a cache line corresponding to the speculative thread cache write request

allocating a new cache line (e.g. FIG. 1, element 110 and related text);

marking the new cache line as speculative (paragraph [0005] "... read from global register if ... a speculative thread ..." and e.g. FIG. 2, 230 and 240 and related text); and allowing the speculative thread to write speculative data to the new cache line (e.g. FIG. 1, element 110 and related text).

Per claim 34, Chamdani discloses an apparatus comprising:

- a processor including (e.g. FIG. 1, 100 and related text):
- a first logical processor to execute a speculative thread (e.g. FIG. 1, 100 and related text);
- a second logical processor to execute a non-speculative thread (e.g. FIG. 2, 202 and related text);

Art Unit: 2192

a storage area to include a speculation identifier (ID) field, the speculation ID field to hold a first value to indicate an associated store instruction is associated with the speculative thread (e.g. FIG. 1, 102 and related text); and

Chamdani does not explicitly disclose control logic to prevent data associated with the store instruction from being consumed by the non-speculative thread, based on the speculation ID holding the first value. However, Hoogerbrugge discloses a forward load instruction that can be taken into execution before a store instruction that stores the data loaded by the forward load instruction. The load address used by the forward load instruction is saved after it has been used to load data. Subsequently, when a store instruction is executed, the store address of the store instruction is compared with the addresses used to prefetch data into each register. If the load and store addresses address the same data, the prefetched data in the relevant register is replaced by the store data that is stored by the store instruction. The data is replaced from the time that the store instruction is completed. Thus, a register loaded with a forward load instruction always contains data that corresponds to the data that is actually in memory at the load address, no matter when the forward load instruction is executed. At the original location of the load instruction a commit instruction is added to prevent store instructions after that location from causing a substitution with store data. As a result the forward load instruction can be moved freely through the program, past any store instructions, without affecting the result of the program (col.1: 50-60). Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to prevent the data associated with the store instruction so as to bypass the load instruction any store instruction as data will directly go to a functional unit that addresses the result as once suggested by Hoogerbrugge (col.5: 35-50, emphasis added).

Per claim 35, Chamdani discloses the apparatus of claim 34, wherein: the first and the second logical processors are the same logical processor, and wherein the non-speculative thread and the speculative thread are to be time multiplexed for execution on the same logical processor (e.g. FIG. 1, 100 and related text).

Per claim 36, Chamdani discloses the apparatus of claim 34, wherein: the storage area includes a store buffer, and wherein the speculation ID field is included within a store buffer entry of the store buffer, the store buffer entry to also hold a first address associated with the store instruction and the data associated with the store instruction (e.g. FIG. 3 and related text).

Per claim 37, Chamdani discloses the apparatus of claim 36, wherein: the first value is to include a first identifier (ID) value associated with the first logical processor (paragraph [0022] "... thread will continue ... the value returned...").

Per claim 38, Chamdani discloses the apparatus of claim 37, wherein: the control logic includes comparison logic to compare a second address and a second ID value, which are associated with a load Instruction that is to be executed as part of the non-speculative thread on the second logical processor, with the first address and the first ID value (paragraph [0022] "... thread will continue ... the value returned...");

Chamdani does not explicitly disclose store blocker logic to prevent data associated with the store instruction from being consumed by the load instruction that is to be executed as part of the non-speculative thread, in response to the first ID value and the first address not matching the second ID value and the second address. However, Hoogerbrugge discloses a forward load

Art Unit: 2192

instruction that can be taken into execution before a store instruction that stores the data loaded by the forward load instruction. The load address used by the forward load instruction is saved after it has been used to load data. Subsequently, when a store instruction is executed, the store address of the store instruction is compared with the addresses used to prefetch data into each register. If the load and store addresses address the same data, the prefetched data in the relevant register is replaced by the store data that is stored by the store instruction. The data is replaced from the time that the store instruction is completed. Thus, a register loaded with a forward load instruction always contains data that corresponds to the data that is actually in memory at the load address, no matter when the forward load instruction is executed. At the original location of the load instruction a commit instruction is added to prevent store instructions after that location from causing a substitution with store data. As a result the forward load instruction can be moved freely through the program, past any store instructions, without affecting the result of the program (col.1: 50-60). Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to prevent the data associated with the store instruction so as to bypass the load instruction any store instruction as data will directly go to a functional unit that addresses the result as once suggested by Hoogerbrugge (col.5: 35-50, emphasis added).

Per claim 39, Chamdani discloses the apparatus of claim 34, wherein: the processor further includes a third logical processor to execute an additional speculative thread, and wherein the control logic is to allow data associated with the store instruction from being consumed by the additional speculative thread (paragraph [0049] "... speculative thread re-executing ...").

Per claim 40, Chamdani discloses the apparatus of claim 34, wherein: the first value is to include a 1-bit mode value comparison logic (paragraph [0038] "... one bit ...").

Art Unit: 2192

Per claim 41, Chamdani discloses the apparatus of claim 34, wherein: the processor further includes marking logic to set the speculation ID field to the first value in response to detecting the store instruction associated with the speculative thread (paragraph [0005] "... read from global register if ... a speculative thread ..." and e.g. FIG. 2, 230 and 240 and related text).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 6-7, 9-31 and 33-41 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. See Chamdani and Hoogerbrugge arts made of record.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isaac T. Tecklu whose telephone number is (571) 272-7957. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 9:300A - 8:00P.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Q. Dam can be reached on (571) 272-3695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2192

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Isaac Tecklu/

Art Unit 2192

/Tuan Q. Dam/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2192